

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/584,942 Examiner Rhonda S. Peace	Applicant(s) NODA ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Art Unit 2874</td> <td style="width: 20%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2874	
Art Unit 2874				

All Participants:

(1) Rhonda S. Peace.

(2) Steve Catlin.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
4, 10, 12,, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rhonda S. Peace/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2874

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants agreed to an Examiner's amendment of claims 4, 10, 12, and 13 to change, "in that the forbidden band zone," to "in that each forbidden band zone," in order to eliminate any issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, since claim 1 recites a first and second forbidden band zone.